

Country: Romania

1. GENERAL INFORMATION DOCUMENT (Lab file)		
		Commission's Comments
1.1.	General comments on layout and content	The competent authority has provided a comprehensive document on the system in place for monitoring of residues.
1.2.	Has a <b>list of approved laboratories</b> been provided? [Article 2(f), Article 7§3 and Article 15.1. of Council Directive 96/23/EC] and have details been provided on the accreditation status?	Yes. Based on section 8 of the plan, all laboratories are accredited by the Romanian Accreditation Association in compliance with ISO 17025/2005.
1.3.	Have details been provided on the <b>validation status</b> of the analytical methods used by these laboratories?	Yes. In section 8 of the plan, it is stated that the analytical methods used (both screening and confirmatory) meet the requirements in relation to validation. Methods are listed in table 1.
1.4.	Have <b>updates/additions to the 2019 plan</b> (relative to the 2018 plan) been described and have non-compliant results in the previous year been taken into account in the plan.	Yes. It is described in sections 5.1 and 6 of the plan. Taking into account the non-compliant results, number of samples for groups B1, B2e, B3a, B3c, B3d, B3f were increased, by different percent starting from 1%. Based on non-compliant samples detected in 2018, the plan was updated with samples for detection of other recommended substances (lambda-cyhalothrin, clorobenzilate, dimethoate, diflubenzuron, fention, pendimethalin, clorfenvinphos, phosmet, vinclozolin, tecnazene, quintozene, nitrofen, azinphos, bromopropylat, dichlorvos) for eggs and poultry. Additions to the plan (new substances) are also described in section 6 of the plan.
1.5.	Is there any statement in this document indicating that the <b>Commission's comments</b> (if applicable) on the 2018 plan were addressed?	Yes. References are made throughout the document.
1.6.	With regard to <b>recent Commission residues missions</b> , have the comments (if any) made in the relevant part of the report (e.g. on coverage of the plan) been taken into account in the 2019 plan?	The last audit in Romania dealing with residues took place in March 2013 (DG(SANCO) 2013-6843). All relevant recommendations have been assessed as addressed
1.7.	If commodities listed in Annex I to Council Directive 96/23/EC are either <b>not included in the plan</b> or the sample numbers <b>do not meet the minimum criteria</b> , has an explanation been provided in the general information document.	Production of rabbits is entered as nil, therefore rabbits are not covered in the 2019 plan. Wild boars are excluded from sampling on foot that the production is very small. For such commodities, the competent authority should consider sampling, even with a reduced number of samples, if not every year, at least every second year, to avoid that such a commodity is left unmonitored for residues.

2. THE RESIDUE MONITORING PLAN (RMP)		
2.1.	Does the <b>production data</b> provided cover all of the commodities obliged to be tested under 96/23/EC?	Yes. Production data is based on 2018 figures.
2.2.	Do the <b>numbers of samples</b> meet the minimum requirements of the Directive?	Yes.
2.3.	Are <b>all groups of residues covered</b> for each of the commodities (as listed in Annex I to Council Directive 96/23/EC)?	Yes. Based on the check table, it seems that the 70% breakdown requirements for analyses of milk and eggs samples are not achieved.
2.4.	<b>Within</b> each of the relevant subgroups, are all of the relevant analytes included? (e.g. within Group A6).	As regards the scope of testing, the recommendations made by the EURLs should be addressed in future plans. Please note that some comments made by the EURLs might concern species the production of which has been declared as nil (rabbit) and such comments could be considered when these species are produced in the future.

**Summary:**

The Commission intends to approve the 2019 residue monitoring plan which is largely in line with Council Directive 96/23/EC.